## <u>Committee Name</u>: Assembly Committee – Rural Affairs and Forestry (AC–RAF)

### **Appointments**

01hr\_AC-RAF\_Appt\_pt00

#### **Committee Hearings**

01hr\_AC-RAF\_CH\_pt00

#### **Committee Reports**

01hr\_AC-RAF\_CR\_pt00

#### Clearinghouse Rules

01hr\_AC-RAF\_CRule\_01-

#### **Executive Sessions**

01hr\_AC-RAF\_ES\_pt00

## Hearing Records 01hr\_ab0744

01hr\_sb0000

#### Misc.

01hr\_AC-RAF\_Misc\_pt00

### **Record of Committee Proceedings**

01hr\_AC-RAF\_RCP\_pt00

01-24-02 EXEC AB644, AB695, AB 66 기내

## Committee Meeting Attendance Sheet

## Assembly Committee on Rural Affairs and Forestry

Date: Jun. 24, 2007 Meet Location: 415 Northwest	ing Type: - State (a	Public pital	Heaving	
Committee Member Rep. John Ainsworth, Chair Rep. Donald Friske Rep. Stephen Freese Rep. Gabe Loeffelholz Rep. Tom Sykora Rep. Barbara Gronemus Rep. Mary Hubler Rep. Marlin Schneider		Present DDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDD	Absent	Excused
	Totals:	8	0	0

Kristina Boardman, Committee Clerk

## Committee Meeting Attendance Sheet

## Assembly Committee on Rural Affairs and Forestry

Date: <u>Jan. 24, 2002</u> Meet	ing Type:	Executiv	re Sessio	
Location: 415 Northwest	- Start	capitul		40.20
Committee Member		<u>Present</u>	<u>Absent</u>	Excused
Rep. John Ainsworth, Chair		4		
Rep. Donald Friske			<u></u>	
Rep. Stephen Freese				
Rep. Gabe Loeffelholz				
Rep. Tom Sykora				
Rep. Barbara Gronemus				
Rep. Mary Hubler		,		
Rep. Marlin Schneider				
	Totals:	8	0	O

Kristina Boardman, Committee Clerk

# Wote Record Assembly - Committee on Rural Affairs and Forestry

te:  ved by:  SB: SJR: SR: SR:		Seconded by: Clearinghouse Rule: Appointment: Other:			
to A/S Amdt:  to A/S Sub Amdt:  to A/S Amdt:	Indefinite Pos		Sub Amdt:		
	Tabling Concurrence Nonconcurrer Confirmation	nce			
	Aye	o Absorting	ent M	Not Voting	
Totals: _			·		
	to A/S Amdt: to A/S Sub Amdt: to A/S Amdt:	Clearinghouse Rule Appointment: Other:  to A/S Amdt:  to A/S Sub Amdt: to A/S Amdt:  Indefinite Pos Tabling Concurrence Nonconcurrer Confirmation  Aye N	Clearinghouse Rule: Appointment: Other:  to A/S Amdt:  to A/S Sub Amdt: to A/S Amdt:  Indefinite Postponement Tabling Concurrence Nonconcurrence Confirmation  Aye No Abset  Indefinite Postponement I	Clearinghouse Rule: Appointment: Other:  to A/S Amdt:  to A/S Sub Amdt: to A/S Sub Amdt:  Indefinite Postponement Tabling Concurrence Nonconcurrence Confirmation  Aye No Absent  Aye No D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	

Motion Carried

Motion Failed

## Testimony Before the Assembly Rural Affairs and Forestry Committee January 24, 2002

OF4445?

In Regards to LRB - 0445

Good morning Chairman Ainsworth and committee members. My name is Ken Hujanen, chief of the Forest Tax Section, Division of Forestry, in the Department of Natural Resources. First let me thank you for this opportunity to come before you in regard to LRB -0445.

The Department of Natural Resources supports this bill. Each year 300 to 400 orders are issued under the Forest Crop Law which requires my signature and a counter-signature authenticating my signature by our legal staff. We estimate that we spend up to 120 hours annually, signing and retrieving second signatures from the Department's legal staff. At least 30 hours of legal staff time is spent on the signature process. Under the Managed Forest Law the signature process was streamlined through facsimile signatures and the dropping of authentication. This was approved with legislation early in the life of the Managed Forest Law. This bill would bring the two laws together under the signature requirements.

This bill also streamlines the application process for the Managed Forest Law by moving up the petition deadline one month from January 31 each year to December 31. With the interest in the Managed Forest Law, this will give the Department foresters another month for processing the application for entry. The renewal deadline for landowners has also been moved up to match the petition deadline which was missed when the deadline was move to January 31 in the mid-90s. To make sure the landowners are given enough time to return a petition for re-entry of their lands under the Managed Forest Law, a three-month lead period is required of the Department, establishing September 30<sup>th</sup> for notification.

This bill begins to address the workload the Department has experienced with the high interest landowners have shown in the Managed Forest Law, by moving up deadlines for petitions. The elimination of unnecessary signatures on Forest Crop Law orders will also save time within our central office. The fiscal impact of this bill has no cost or revenue to the state, county or local units of government. Savings are in the form of time, 100 120 hours per year of Department staff time. This includes both the signature and deadline changes.

I would like to thank you for considering this legislation.

Thank you for your time and I'm willing to answer any questions.

## Testimony Before the Senate Committee on Environmental Resources

## March 11, 2002

## In Regards to AB 744

Good afternoon Chairman Baumgart and committee members. My name is Ken Hujanen, chief of the Forest Tax Section, Division of Forestry, in the Department of Natural Resources. First let me thank you for this opportunity to come before you in regard to AB 744.

The Department of Natural Resources supports this bill. Each year 300 to 400 orders are issued under the Forest Crop Law which requires my signature and a counter-signature authenticating my signature by our legal staff. We estimate that we spend up to 120 hours annually, signing and retrieving second signatures from the Department's legal staff. At least 30 hours of legal staff time is spent on the signature process. Under the Managed Forest Law, the signature process was streamlined through facsimile signatures and the dropping of authentication. This was approved with legislation early in the life of the Managed Forest Law. This bill would bring the two laws together under the signature requirements.

This bill also streamlines the application process for the Managed Forest Law by moving up the petition deadline one month from January 31 each year to December 31. With the interest in the Managed Forest Law, this will give the Department foresters another month for processing the application for entry. The renewal deadline for landowners has also been moved up to match the petition deadline which was missed when the deadline was move to January 31 in the mid-90s. To make sure the landowners are given enough time to return a petition for re-entry of their lands under the Managed Forest Law, a three-month lead period is required of the Department, establishing September 30<sup>th</sup> for notification.

This bill begins to address the workload the Department has experienced with the high interest landowners have shown in the Managed Forest Law, by moving up deadlines for petitions. The elimination of unnecessary signatures on Forest Crop Law orders will also save time within our central office. The fiscal impact of this bill has no cost or revenue to the state, county or local units of government. Savings are in the form of time, 100 - 120 hours per year of Department staff time. This includes both the signature and deadline changes.

I would like to thank you for considering this legislation.

Thank you for your time and I'm willing to answer any questions.